

Quantitative Approaches to Context Heterogeneity

Naihua Duan, Ph.D.

Naihua.Duan@Columbia.Edu

Professor of Biostatistics (in Psychiatry)
Departments of Psychiatry and Biostatistics,
Columbia University
and New York State Psychiatric Institute

Outline

- Heterogeneity in intervention effects (HIE)
- Relevance for dissemination and implementation research
- RAND study on patient safety practices
- HIE and statistical interactions
- Detection and assessment of contextual HIE
- Discussions

Heterogeneity in Intervention Effects

- How intervention effects might differ across sites with different contexts
 - IT-based patient safety practice intervention might work well in large teaching hospital with strong IT infrastructure, not so well in small rural hospital with weak IT infrastructure
- Analogous to patient level heterogeneity in treatment effects (HTE)
 - Kravitz, Duan, Braslow (2004, Milbank Quarterly)

Relevance for Dissemination and Implementation Research

- Target intervention delivery
 - Focus on sites likely to benefit from intervention
- Adapt intervention to accommodate site-specific context
 - Identify context factors associated with poor intervention effects, adapt intervention accordingly

RAND PSP Study

- Assessing the Evidence for Context-Sensitive Effectiveness and Safety of Patient Safety Practices
 - Paul Shekelle, Peter Pronovost, Robert Wachter, et al.
 - <http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/context-sensitive/>
 - (Chapter 12)

Key Findings and Recommendations from PSP Study

- Among PSP Study's key findings and recommendations:
 - For studies with multiple intervention sites, an assessment of the influence of context on intervention and implementation effectiveness
 - Generating empirical evidence that the contextual factors identified in this project influence the success of the PSP
- Challenge: number of sites usually limited

Five Key PSPs

- Checklists for catheter-related bloodstream infection prevention.
- The Universal Protocol for preventing wrong procedure, wrong site, wrong person surgery.
- Computerized order entry/decision support systems.
- Medication reconciliation.
- Interventions to prevent in-facility falls.

High Priority Contexts for PSP

- External factors such as regulatory requirement, the presence of public reporting or pay-for-performance, the occurrence of local “sentinel event”
- Organizational characteristics, such as size, complexity and financial status or strength
- Teamwork, leadership and patient safety culture
- Management tools such as training resources, internal organization incentives, audit and feedback, the use of quality improvement consultants, etc.

High Priority Contexts for Fall Prevention

- Patient Safety Culture, Teamwork, Leadership
 - Patient safety culture – org. level & unit level
 - Teamwork – unit level
 - Leadership – org. level & unit level
 - Less sensitive to:
 - Teamwork – org. level
- Organizational characteristics
 - Size
 - Existing quality/safety infrastructure
 - Less sensitive to:
 - Financial status
 - Academic status
 - Organizational complexity, etc.
- Etc.

HIE and Statistical Interactions

- Statistical formulation for HIE as interactions between intervention and contextual factor(s):
- $Y_i = b_0 + b_1 \times T_i + b_2 \times C_i + b_{12} \times T_i \times C_i + \epsilon_i$,
 - i denotes the unit of analysis (site)
 - Y_i denotes the outcome measure
 - T_i denotes the intervention status
 - C_i denotes the contextual factor(s)
 - $T_i \times C_i$ denotes the “intervention \times context” interaction(s)

Use Contextual Index to Reduce Dimensionality?

- Multiple contextual factors lead to multiple interaction terms for “intervention \times context”
 - Lack of power, collinearity, difficult to interpret...
- Construct “contextual index”, screen for presence of HIE using interaction between intervention and contextual index:
 - $b_{21} \times C_{i1} + b_{22} \times C_{i2} + \dots + b_{2k} \times C_{ik}$
- More in Dr. Mangione-Smith’s talk
- Analogous to Tukey’s one-degree-of-freedom test for interaction in two-way ANOVA
 - Tukey, John (1949). "One degree of freedom for non-additivity". *Biometrics* 5 (3): 232–242.

Specification for HIE Depends on Evaluation Design

- Pre-post comparison
- Longitudinal comparison
- Matched comparison, post-only
- Matched comparison, pre-post
- Matched comparison, longitudinal
- Adjustment for covariates
- Validity depends on evaluation design too!

Pre-Post Comparison

- Assumes no secular trend
- Pre-intervention measure:
 - $Y_{0i} = b_0 + b_2 \times C_i + \varepsilon_{0i}$
- Post-intervention measure:
 - $Y_{1i} = b_0 + b_1 + b_2 \times C_i + b_{12} \times C_i + \varepsilon_{1i}$
- Pre-Post Change (site-specific intervention effect):
 - $D_i = Y_{1i} - Y_{0i} = b_1 + b_{12} \times C_i + (\varepsilon_{1i} - \varepsilon_{0i})$
- Variation: take multiple baseline measures, fit pre-intervention trend, compare post-measure with projection based on pre-intervention trend

Longitudinal Comparison

- Assumes no secular trend, gradual improvement over time under intervention
- Baseline measure:
 - $Y_{0i} = b_0 + b_2 \times C_i + \varepsilon_{0i}$
- Repeated measures over time:
 - $Y_{ti} = b_0 + b_1 \times t + b_2 \times C_i + b_{12} \times C_i \times t + \varepsilon_{ti}$
- Rate of change (site-specific intervention effect)
 - $R_i = b_1 + b_{12} \times C_i + \delta_i$
- Variation: adjust for pre-intervention trend

Matched Comparison, Post-Only

- Comparison site:
 - $Y_{0i} = b_0 + b_2 \times C_i + \varepsilon_{0i}$
- Intervention site:
 - $Y_{1i} = b_0 + b_1 + b_2 \times C_i + b_{12} \times C_i + \varepsilon_{1i}$
- Intervention effect in i -th dyad:
 - $\Delta_i = Y_{1i} - Y_{0i} = b_1 + b_{12} \times C_i + (\varepsilon_{1i} - \varepsilon_{0i})$

Matched Comparison, Pre-Post

- Pre-Post change for comparison site:
 - $D_{0i} = b_0 + b_2 \times C_i + \varepsilon_{0i}$
- Pre-Post change for intervention site:
 - $D_{1i} = b_0 + b_1 + b_2 \times C_i + b_{12} \times C_i + \varepsilon_{1i}$
- Intervention effect for i -th dyad:
 - $\Delta_i = D_{1i} - D_{0i} = b_1 + b_{12} \times C_i + (\varepsilon_{1i} - \varepsilon_{0i})$

Matched Comparison, Longitudinal

- Rate of change in comparison site:
 - $R_{0i} = b_0 + b_2 \times C_i + \delta_{0i}$
- Rate of change in intervention site:
 - $R_{1i} = b_0 + b_1 + b_2 \times C_i + b_{12} \times C_i + \delta_{1i}$
- Intervention effect for i -th dyad:
 - $\Delta_i = R_{1i} - R_{0i} = b_1 + b_{12} \times C_i + (\delta_{1i} - \delta_{0i})$

Adjustment for Covariates

- Basic model:

$$Y_i = b_0 + b_1 \times T_i + b_2 \times C_i + b_{12} \times T_i \times C_i + \epsilon_i$$

- Expanded model:

$$Y_i = b_0 + b_1 \times T_i + b_2 \times C_i + b_3 \times W_i + b_{12} \times T_i \times C_i + \epsilon_i$$

- W_i = site level covariates that might be confounded with intervention status

Discussions

- It is important to assess heterogeneity of intervention effects (HIE) in dissemination-implementation research
- HIE can be specified as interactions between intervention and contextual factors
- Specification for HIE depends on evaluation design, as well as validity of HIE analyses